

Some subclasses of meromorphic P-valent functions with positive coefficients defined on the punctured disc using a differential operator

Logu S

Department of Mathematics, Presidency College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Motivated by the works of M.K. Aouf and others, we in this paper introduce and study a generalized subclass of meromorphic p-valent functions using a differential operator. We obtain interesting results such as coefficient estimates, growth and distortion bounds and prove closure and integral transforms theorems and other related results.

Keywords: Analytic, meromorphic p-valent, subordination, radius of convexity

1. Introduction

Let $U^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. Let $f(z)$ be analytic and meromorphic p-valent defined on U^* of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k} \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.1)$$

We denote by \sum_p^* , the class of all functions analytic and meromorphic p-valent of the form (1.1). In [4] M.K. Aouf introduced the

differential operator as follows: For a function $f(z) \in \sum_p^*$, define the differential operator $D_{\lambda,p}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,p}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z) &= (1-\lambda)f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z f'(z) + \frac{2\lambda}{z^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p} \right) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= D_{\lambda,p} f(z) \quad (\lambda \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}), \\ D_{\lambda,p}^2 f(z) &= D_{\lambda,p} (D_{\lambda,p} f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) &= D_{\lambda,p} (D_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z)) \\ &= (1-\lambda) (D_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z)) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z (D_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z))' + \frac{2\lambda}{z^p} \\ (\lambda \geq 0; n, p \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

By a simple computation, it is easy to verify:

$$D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p} \right)^n a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (n \in N_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.3)$$

With $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p} \right)^n z^{p+k}$, in terms of Hadamard product, (1.3) can be put in the form,

$$D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) = (f * F)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \tag{1.4}$$

Making use of this operator Aouf defined a subclass of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients as in:

$$f(z) \in \sum_p^* n$$

Definition 1.1. A function belongs to the class $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if it λ, p satisfies the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))' + p}{(2\gamma - 1) z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))' + (2\gamma\alpha - p)} \right| < \beta, \\ (\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < P) \beta (0 < \beta \leq 1); \gamma (\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1); \lambda \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N},$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Besides this, several authors have done extensive work on subclass of meromorphic multivalent functions. For a brief survey one can refer to [6, 9, 10, 15, 16].

We in this paper, generalize Aouf's work using the principle of subordination as given below:

$$f(z) \in \sum_p^* *,n$$

Definition 1.2. A function is said to belong to the class $P(A,B)_{\lambda,p}$ if it satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{-1}{p} z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))' < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad 0 < |z| < 1, \quad -1 \leq A < B \leq 1.$$

We observe the following

For $n = 0, \lambda = 0, p = 1, A = \frac{(p-2\alpha\gamma\beta)}{p}, B = (2\gamma - 1\beta),$

(i) $\sum_{0,1}^{*,0}(A, B) = \sum_{0,1}^{*,0} \left(\frac{(p-2\alpha\gamma\beta)}{p}, (2\gamma - 1\beta) \right) = \sum_{0,1}^0 F(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \sum_d(\alpha\beta, \gamma)$
 the class introduced and studied by Cho *et al.* [5].

(ii) $\sum_{\lambda,p} (A, B) = \sum_{\lambda,p} \left(\frac{(p-2\alpha\gamma\beta)}{p}, (2\gamma - 1\beta) \right) = \sum_{\lambda,p}^n F(\alpha\beta, \gamma)$
 $*,n, *,n,$ the class introduced and studied by Aouf [4].

(iii) $\sum_{\lambda,p}^{*,n} \left(\frac{(p-2\alpha)}{p}, 1 \right) = \sum_{\lambda,p}^{*,n}(\alpha) = \{f(z) \in \sum_p^* : Re\{-z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))'\} > \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in U^*\};$

(iv) $\sum_{0,p}^{*,0} \left(\frac{(p-2\alpha)}{p}, 1 \right) = \sum_p^*(\alpha) = \{f(z) \in \sum_p^* : Re\{-z^{p+1} f'(z)\} > \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < p, p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in U^*\};$

(v) $\sum_{0,p}^{*,0}(\alpha\beta, 1) = \sum_p^*(\alpha\beta) = \{f(z) \in \sum_p^* : \left| \frac{z^{p+1} f'(z) + p}{z^{p+1} f'(z) + 2\alpha - p} \right| < 1; z \in U^*, 0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in U^*\}.$

In this paper coefficient problems, distortion bounds, radius of convexity, closure theorem and integral transforms are investigated for the $*,n$ class $P(A,B)$. We also prove theorems involving convolution products.

λ, p

2. Main Results

$*,n$

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in P$. Then $f \in P(A,B)$ if and only if

$$(B + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} \leq (A + B)p,$$

for $1 \leq A < B \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in \mathbb{N}.$

(2.1)

**,n*

Proof. Assume that $f \in {}^P(A, B)$. Then λ, p

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,p}^n(A, B)f(z))' + p}{Bz^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,p}^n(A, B)f(z))' + Ap} \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{p+k}}{B(p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{p+k} + Ap)} \right| < 1,$$

($z \in U^*$). Applying the relation $Re z \leq |z| \forall z$, we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{(A + B)p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B(p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right\} < 1 \quad (z \in U^*). \quad (2.2)$$

Now choose the values of z on the real axis so that $z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))^0$ is real.

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through positive values, from (2.2) we obtain

$$(B + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} \leq (A + B)p.$$

Conversely, assume that the inequality (2.1) holds.

This implies

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{2p+k} \right| - \left| B(p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}) + Ap \right| < 0$$

(2.3)

For $|z| = r < 1$ the left hand side of (2.3) is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \\ & - B(p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} r^{2p+k}) - Ap < 0 \\ & < (B + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p + k) \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k} - (A + B)p \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))' + p}{Bz^{p+1}(D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z))' + Ap} \right| < 1.$$

**,n*

Hence $f(z) \in {}^P(A, B)$. λ, p

** * ,n*

Corollary 2.2. The function $f \in {}^P$ is in ${}^P(A, B)$ if

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{(A+B)P}{(B+1)(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n},$$

$$(-1 \leq A < B \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

This result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(A+B)p}{(B+1)(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n} z^{p+k},$$

$$(-1 \leq A < B \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Letting $n = \lambda = 0$ in theorem we get,

$$\sum_{\lambda,p}^{*,n} \left(\frac{p-2\alpha}{p}, 1\right) \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p)$$

*, 0

Corollary 2.3. The function $f \in P_p(\alpha, 1, 1)$ is in $0, p$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} \leq \frac{p-2\alpha+1}{2}.$$

Note that when $p = 1$,

∞
X

$$(k+1) a_{k+1} \leq (1-\alpha)$$

$k=0$ the conditions is meromorphic univalent function.

Corollary 2.4. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma-1)\beta$ this reduces to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (1 + \beta \gamma - \beta) a_{p+k} \leq \beta \gamma (p-\alpha)$$

the result obtained in Aouf^[4].

Theorem 2.5. (Distortion theorem)

*, *, n

The function $f \in P_p$ is in $P_p(A, B)$ then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$

$$\frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{(A+B)}{(B+1)} r^p \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{(A+B)}{(B+1)} r^p,$$

$$\frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{(A+B)}{(B+1)} r^{p-1} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{(A+B)}{(B+1)} r^{p-1}$$

(2.4) (2.5)

The bounds in (2.4) and (2.5) are in

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(A+B)}{(B+1)} z^p \quad (p \in \mathbb{N})$$

(2.6)

Proof. By the theorem 1, we have

$$p(B+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1) a_{p+k} \leq (A+B)p,$$

that is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \frac{A+B}{B+1}$$

$$< |z| = r < 1, \tag{2.7}$$

Thus 0

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} r^{p+k} \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + r^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{A+B}{B+1} r^p \tag{2.8}, \text{ and}$$

$$|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} r^{p+k} \geq \frac{1}{r^p} - r^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{A+B}{B+1} r^p. \tag{2.9}$$

The inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) together yield (2.4) and it follows theorem 1 that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} \leq \frac{(A+B)p}{B+1} \tag{2.10}$$

Hence

$$|f'(z)| \leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} r^{p+k-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + r^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} \leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{(A+B)p}{B+1} r^{p-1}, \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} r^{p+k-1}$$

$$\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - r^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} \geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{(A+B)p}{B+1} r^{p-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

The inequalities (2.11) and (2.12) together yield (2.5). It is clear seen that the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.6) is extremal for theorem 2.

Corollary 2.6. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma-1)\beta$ this reduces to

$$\frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{\beta \gamma(p-\alpha)}{p(1+\beta \gamma-\beta)} r^p \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\beta \gamma(p-\alpha)}{p(1+\beta \gamma-\beta)} r^p,$$

$$\frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{\beta \gamma(p-\alpha)}{(1+\beta \gamma-\beta)} r^{p-1} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{\beta \gamma(p-\alpha)}{(1+\beta \gamma-\beta)} r^{p-1},$$

And

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta \gamma(p-\alpha)}{p(1+\beta \gamma-\beta)} z^p \quad (p \in \mathbb{N})$$

the result obtained in Aouf^[4].

Theorem 2.7. (Radius of convexity)

*, *, n

Assume that the function $f \in \mathcal{P}$ is in $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$, then $f(z)$ is

$\mathcal{P}(\lambda, p)$ meromorphically multivalent convex of order ρ ($0 \leq \rho \leq p$) in

$0 < |z| < r(\lambda, p, n, A, B)$ where

$$r(\lambda, p, n, A, B) = \inf_k \left[\frac{(p-\rho) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p} \right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)(3p+k-\rho)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}},$$

$$(k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{2.13}$$

the result is sharp. Proof. It is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &\leq p - \rho \quad \text{for } 0 < |z| < r(\lambda, \rho, n, A, B, p). \\ \left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)p a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} (p+k) (2p+k) r^{2p+k}}{p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k}}. \quad |z| < r = 1 \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} (p+k) (2p+k) r^{2p+k} &\leq (p - \delta) \left(p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \right). \\ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} (p+k) (3p+k - \rho)}{p(p - \rho)} &\leq 1. \tag{2.14} \end{aligned}$$

By theorem (1)

$$\frac{(B+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n a_{p+k}}{(A+B)p} \leq 1 \tag{2.15}$$

$$\frac{(3p+k - \rho)r^{2p+k}}{(p - \rho)} \leq \frac{(B+1) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n}{(A+B)}.$$

$$r \leq \left[\frac{\left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)(p - \rho)}{(A+B)(3p+k - \rho)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}} \quad (k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{2.16}$$

The result (4.1) is sharp with extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.5).

Corollary 2.8. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma - 1)\beta$ this reduces to

$$r(\lambda, p, n, \alpha\beta, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[\frac{p(p - \alpha) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (1 + \beta(\gamma - \beta))}{\beta \gamma (p - \alpha)(3p+k - \delta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}}, \quad (k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \text{ the result obtained in Aouf}^{[4]}.$$

Theorem 2.9. (Closure theorems)

Let $f_{p-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$ (2.17)

And

$$f_{p+k}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(A+B)P}{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)} z^{p+k} \quad (k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0) \tag{2.18}$$

*,n

Then $f(z)$ be in the class $P(A,B)$ if and only if

$$\lambda, p \infty f(z) = {}^X \Theta_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z), \tag{2.19}$$

$$k=-1$$

Where

$$\Theta_{p+k} \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} = 1$$

Proof. let $f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z)$ where $\Theta_{p+k} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} = 1$.

Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} \frac{(A+B)p}{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p}^n (B+1)} z^{p+k}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} \frac{(A+B)p}{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p}^n (B+1)} \cdot \frac{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p}^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} = 1 - \Theta_{p-1} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

this imply that $f(z) \in P(A,B)$.

λ, p
Conversely
 $*, n$

suppose $f(z) \in P(A,B)$ then

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{(A+B)p}{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p}^n (B+1)} \quad (k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

Let

$$\Theta_{p+k} \leq \frac{(A+B)p}{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p}^n (B+1)} a_{p+k} \quad (k \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and

$$\Theta_{p-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k}$$

this imply that $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z)$

Corollary 2.10. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma - 1\beta)$ this reduces to

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z), \text{ where } \mu_{p+k} \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \mu_{p+k} = 1 \text{ the result obtained in Aouf}^{[4]}.$$

Theorem 2.11. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k,1} \geq 0) \\ f_2(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k,2} \geq 0) \in \sum_{\lambda, p}^{*, n} (A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(z) = (1 - v)f_1(z) + vf_2(z)$ ($0 \leq v \leq 1$) is also in.

Proof. Assume that

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,j} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k,j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2)$$

$*,n$ is in the class $P(A,B)$. It is enough to prove that

$\lambda,p *,n$

$q(z) = (1 - v)f_1(z) + vf_2(z)$ ($0 \leq v \leq 1$) and $q(z) \in P(A,B)$.

λ,p

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(1 - v)a_{p+k,1} + va_{p+k,2}] z^{p+k} \quad (0 \leq v \leq 1)$$

By the theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1) [(1-v)a_{p+k,1} + va_{p+k,2}]$$

$$\leq (1-v)(A+B)p + v(A+B)p,$$

$$= (A+B)p,$$

$*,n$

this imply that $q(z) \in P(A,B)$.

λ,p

$$A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}, \quad B = (2\gamma - 1)\beta$$

Corollary 2.12. Upon specialising the result λ,p obtained in Aouf^[4].

this reduces to $h(z) = (1-t)f_1(z) + tf_2(z)$ $0 \leq t \leq 1 \in P(A,B)$

Theorem 2.13. (integral transforms)

If

$$h_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 v^{c+p-1} f(vz) dv \quad 0 < c < \infty$$

(2.20)

is in the class $F(\mu, 1, 1)$, $0 \leq \mu < p$ where

$0,p$

$$\mu = \mu(p, A, B, c) = \frac{p[(2p+c)(B+1) - (A+B)c]}{(2p+c)(B+1)}$$

(2.21)

Proof.

Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \in \sum_{\lambda,p}^{*,n}(A, B)$.

Then

$$h_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 v^{c+p-1} f(vz) dv.$$

$$c \int_0^1 v^{c+p-1} f(vz) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \frac{c}{2p+k+c}.$$

$*, h_{c+p-1}(z)$ are in class $P(\mu)$ $0 \leq \mu < p$ by corollary-2

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p)c}{2p+k+c} a_{p+k} \leq p - \mu.$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p)c}{(p-\mu)(2p+k+c)} a_{p+k} \leq 1.$$

(2.22)

By the cauchy schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+p) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} a_{p+k,1} + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+p) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)^2}{(A+B)p} a_{p+k,2} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{(k+p) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq 1.$$

$$\frac{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2} (p-\alpha)}{p-\psi} \leq \sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \quad (k \geq 0 ; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{2.28} \tag{2.29}$$

(or)equivalently

$$\sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq \frac{p-\psi}{p-\alpha} \quad (k \geq 0) \tag{2.30}$$

By the inequality (2.28) it is enough to prove that

$$\sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq \frac{(A+B)p}{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}$$

$$\psi \leq p - \frac{(A+B)p(p-\alpha)}{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

Defining the function $\zeta(k) = p - \frac{(A+B)p(p-\alpha)}{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)} \quad (k \geq 0)$. Now check that $\zeta(k)$ is an increasing function of k.

$$\psi \leq \zeta(0) = p - \frac{(A+B)(P-\alpha)}{B+1}$$

Corollary 2.16. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma - 1\beta)$ this reduces to

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta \gamma(p-\alpha)}{p(1+\beta \gamma-\beta)} z^p \quad (j = 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N})$$

the result obtained in Aouf^[4].

Theorem 2.17. Let the function $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k}$

$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k,2} \geq 0) \in \sum_{\lambda,p}^{*,n}(A, B)$

$(ap+k,1 \geq 0)$ and *,n
Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$ in $^p(\Psi, A, B)$ where *,n
 *,n

$$\Psi = p - \frac{2(A_1 + B)(A_2 + B)}{B + 1}$$

The result is sharp in the function $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) given by

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(A_1 + B)}{B + 1} \quad (P \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(A_2 + B)}{B + 1} \quad (P \in \mathbb{N})$$

Theorem 2.18. *If*

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k} \text{ in } \sum_{k=0}^{*,n} (A, B)$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k}$$

**,n*
with $|a_{p+k,2}| \leq 1; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in P(A, B)$.

λ, p
Proof.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p} (B+1)}{(A+B)p} |a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k) \binom{p+\lambda k}{p} (B+1)}{(A+B)p} a_{p+k,1} \leq 1$$

Theorem 1 imply that

**,n*
 $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in X(A, B)$.
λ, p

Corollary 2.19. *If*

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k} \text{ in } \sum_{k=0}^{*,n} (A, B)$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k} \quad (0 \leq a_{p+k,2} \leq 1; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

**,n*
then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in P(A, B)$.
λ, p

Theorem 2.20. *Let the functions*

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k}$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2}$$

**,n* is in the class $P(A, B)$ and
k=0

$$p(B + 1) - 2(A + B)p \geq 0. \tag{2.31}$$

Then the function $q(z)$ defined by

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) z^{p+k} \tag{2.32}$$

**,n*
be in the class $P(A, B)$.
λ, p
**,n*

Proof. Given that $f_1(z) \in P(A, B)_{\lambda, p}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} a_{p+k,1} \leq 1$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \right]^2 a_{p+k,1}^2 \leq 1$$

*,n

similarly, $f_2(z) \in P(A, B)_{\lambda, p}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \right]^2 a_{p+k,2}^2 \leq 1$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \right]^2 (a_{p+k,2}^2 + a_{p+k,1}^2) \leq 1$$

By theorem 1, it is show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \right]^2 (a_{p+k,2}^2 + a_{p+k,1}^2) \leq 1 \tag{2.33}$$

Hence the inequality (2.33) will be satisfied if, for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} \right]^2 \tag{2.34}$$

(or) if

$$(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1) - 2(A+B)p \geq 0, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.35}$$

the inequality (2.35) is a increasing function of k, thus the inequality (2.35) is satisfied for all k, if $p(B+1) - 2(A+B)p \geq 0$.

Corollary 2.21. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma - 1\beta)$ this reduces to

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) z^{p+k}$$

the result obtained in Aouf^[4]. **Theorem 2.22.** Let the functions

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k}$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k}$$

*,n is in the class^P(A,B). Then the function $q(z)$ defined as (2.32) be in the

λ, p
 $*, n$
 class $P(\eta, A, B)$ where
 λ, k

$$\eta = p - \frac{2(A + B)(p - \alpha)}{(B + 1)} \tag{2.36}$$

This result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(B+A)}{(B+1)}z^p$ ($j = 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N}$).

Proof.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{[(A+B)p]^2} \right]^2 a_{p+k,j}^2 \leq \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)}{(A+B)p} a_{p+k,j} \right]^2 \leq 1$$

$(j = 1, 2).$ (2.37)

The function $f_j(z)$ in $\sum_{\lambda, p}^{*, n} (A, B)$ ($j = 1, 2$), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)]^2}{2(B+1)^2} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1$$

Thus, to find the η such that

$$\eta \leq p - \frac{2(A+B)p(p-\alpha)}{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)} \quad (k \geq 0) \tag{2.38}$$

$$F(k) = p - \frac{2(A+B)p(p-\alpha)}{(p+k) \left(\frac{p+\lambda k}{p}\right)^n (B+1)} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

To defining a function

Thus $F(k)$ is an increasing function of k

$$\eta \leq F(0) = p - \frac{2(A+B)P(P-\alpha)}{P(B+1)}$$

Corollary 2.23. Upon specialising $A = \frac{(p-2\gamma\alpha\beta)}{p}$, $B = (2\gamma - 1\beta)$ this reduces to

$$\Psi = p - \frac{\beta \gamma (p - \alpha)^2}{p(1 + \beta \gamma - \beta)}$$

the result obtained in Aouf^[4].

References

1. Aouf MK. A generalization of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, Math. Japon. 35(1990):609-614.
2. Aouf MK. On a class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, Math. Japon. 35(1990):603-608.
3. Aouf MK, Hossen HM, Elattar HE. A certain class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive and fixed second coefficients, Punjab Univ. J Math., 333(2000):115-124.
4. Aouf MK. A class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, Taiwanese. J Math., 12(2008):2517-2533.
5. Cho NE, Lee SH, Owa S. A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, Kobe. J Math., 4(1987):43-50.
6. Hayman WK. Subharmonic functions. 1989, 1-2.
7. Joshi SB, Srivastava HM. A certain family of meromorphically multivalent functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 1999; 38(3-4):201-211.
8. Liu JL, Srivastava HM, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent function. J Math. Anal. Appl., 2001; 259:566-581.
9. Morga ML. Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients Math. Japon., 1990;35(1):1-11.
10. Morga ML. Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients Math. Japon., 1990; 35(6):1089-1098.
11. Raina RK, Srivastava HM. A new class of meromorphically multivalent functions with applications to generalized hypergeometric functions, Math. Comput. Modelling. 2006; 43:350-356.

12. Schild A, Silverman H. Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect., A.* 1975; 29:99-107.
13. Srivastava HM, Hossen HM, Aouf MK. A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 1999; 38:63-70.
14. Owa S, Darwish HE, Aouf MK. Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficient and fixed second coefficients, *Math. Japon.* 1997; 46(2):231-236.
15. Uraleghaddi BA, Ganigi MD. Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficient, *Nepali Sci. Rep.* 1986; 11:95-102.
16. Yang DG. On new subclasses of meromorphic p -valent functions. *J Math. Res. Exposition.* 1995; 15:7-13