



## Beyond representation: Conceptualizing women's leadership and participatory empowerment in inclusive development

Dr. Chaganti Rami Reddy

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Abstract

This study conceptualizes women's leadership beyond descriptive representation and positions participatory empowerment as a central pillar of inclusive development. While increased representation of women in governance, economic institutions, and community organizations marks important progress, numerical inclusion alone does not guarantee transformative change. The paper argues that substantive leadership—characterized by decision-making authority, institutional influence, and participatory engagement—is essential for advancing equitable and sustainable development outcomes. Drawing on contemporary scholarly literature and policy discourse, the study examines how women's leadership intersects with institutional structures, socio-cultural norms, economic inclusion, and governance frameworks. It highlights the role of participatory leadership styles in fostering transparency, accountability, and community-driven development, particularly in sectors such as education, health, and environmental sustainability. The analysis further emphasizes the importance of intersectionality in understanding barriers to leadership, including class, ethnicity, and structural inequalities. The study concludes that inclusive development requires systemic reforms that redistribute power, strengthen gender-responsive institutions, and embed women's leadership within participatory governance mechanisms. Women must be recognized not merely as beneficiaries of development but as active architects shaping its direction and outcomes.

**Keywords:** Women's Leadership, Participatory Empowerment, Inclusive Development, Gender Equality, Intersectionality, Governance, Institutional Reform.

### Introduction

Inclusive development has increasingly emerged as a central paradigm in global and national policy discourses, emphasizing equity, participation, and social justice as foundational pillars of sustainable progress. Within this framework, women's leadership is no longer viewed merely as a matter of descriptive representation but as a transformative force capable of reshaping governance structures, institutional cultures, and development outcomes. The discourse has thus shifted "beyond representation" toward a deeper conceptualization of participatory empowerment, agency, and structural transformation (Paalo, 2022; Raj *et al.*, 2024; Slavchevska *et al.*, 2024) [15, 17, 20]. While numerical representation of women in political, economic, and social institutions remains important, contemporary scholarship argues that true inclusivity requires meaningful participation, decision-making authority, and the dismantling of systemic barriers that constrain women's leadership (Nanda & Ghosh, 2025; Mansour *et al.*, 2025) [11, 14].

Recent studies highlight that women's leadership contributes significantly to inclusive governance, environmental sustainability, social innovation, and community resilience (Ameridyani *et al.*, 2025; Rahmania *et al.*, 2025; Vega-Camarena *et al.*, 2025) [1, 16, 22]. Empirical evidence demonstrates that when women occupy leadership roles, policy priorities often expand to include health, education, social protection, and gender-responsive budgeting, thereby strengthening inclusive development trajectories (Awusi *et al.*, 2023; Korhonen *et al.*, 2025) [3, 9]. However, structural inequalities rooted in patriarchy, socio-cultural norms, economic disparities, and institutional biases continue to limit the scope and effectiveness of women's

participation (Boudebouz *et al.*, 2025; Matewos *et al.*, 2025) [4, 12].

The concept of participatory empowerment extends beyond individual capacity-building to encompass collective agency, institutional reform, and inclusive decision-making mechanisms (Dhiaulhaq *et al.*, 2025; LópezCifuentes, 2025) [5]. It recognizes that empowerment is relational and context-specific, shaped by intersecting dimensions such as class, ethnicity, geography, and access to resources (Razia *et al.*, 2025; Rath *et al.*, 2025) [18, 19]. Thus, conceptualizing women's leadership within inclusive development requires a multidimensional approach that integrates political representation, economic participation, social recognition, and epistemic inclusion.

Moreover, global development agendas, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), emphasize gender equality not only as a standalone objective but as a cross-cutting enabler of broader developmental outcomes (Hasan, 2025; Mayer *et al.*, 2025) [7, 13]. Scholars argue that leadership grounded in participatory empowerment fosters collaborative governance, enhances transparency, and strengthens community ownership of development initiatives (Keswick *et al.*, 2025; Villeneuve *et al.*, 2025) [8, 23]. Women leaders often adopt participatory styles that prioritize dialogue, consensus-building, and grassroots engagement, thereby aligning leadership practices with inclusive development principles (Tingelhoff & Marga, 2025; Amoak & Najjar, 2025) [2, 21].

Nevertheless, moving beyond symbolic inclusion demands critical interrogation of power structures. Tokenistic representation, limited authority, and exclusion from informal networks can undermine women's capacity to influence transformative change (Dushkova *et al.*, 2025; Nanda & Ghosh, 2025) [6, 14]. Therefore, inclusive

development must be reconceptualized to foreground women's substantive leadership—where participation translates into policy influence, resource allocation, and structural reform.

This study situates women's leadership at the intersection of participatory governance and inclusive development, arguing that empowerment is both a process and an outcome. It seeks to bridge theoretical insights with practical implications by examining how women's leadership reshapes institutional norms, enhances social inclusion, and contributes to equitable growth. By synthesizing contemporary scholarship, this paper advances a conceptual framework that positions participatory empowerment as the cornerstone of inclusive development, emphasizing that sustainable progress cannot be achieved without transformative women's leadership.

## Literature Review

The discourse on women's leadership within inclusive development has evolved significantly over the past two decades. Earlier frameworks primarily emphasized descriptive representation—measuring progress through numerical participation of women in political, economic, and administrative institutions. However, recent scholarship critiques this limited lens and argues for a transition toward substantive representation, participatory empowerment, and structural transformation (Paalo, 2022; Raj *et al.*, 2024)<sup>[15, 17]</sup>. The contemporary literature thus situates women's leadership at the intersection of governance, social justice, and inclusive growth.

### 1. From Representation to Substantive Leadership

Traditional gender quotas and representation policies have undoubtedly increased women's presence in legislative bodies, corporate boards, and local governance institutions. Yet, researchers caution that numeric gains do not automatically translate into transformative power (Nanda & Ghosh, 2025; Dushkova *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[6, 14]</sup>. Tokenistic inclusion often limits women's authority to symbolic roles, while decision-making power remains concentrated within entrenched patriarchal structures. As Boudebouz *et al.* (2025) and Matewos *et al.* (2025)<sup>[4, 12]</sup> argue, structural and institutional constraints frequently dilute women's capacity to influence agenda-setting and policy outcomes.

Recent studies propose a shift toward conceptualizing leadership as influence, agency, and transformative capacity rather than positional authority alone (Tingelhoff & Marga, 2025; Mansour *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[11, 21]</sup>. This reconceptualization aligns with inclusive development theory, which emphasizes empowerment as the ability to shape decisions that affect one's life and community. Therefore, leadership becomes meaningful only when it enables redistribution of power and resources.

### 2. Participatory Empowerment as a Developmental Catalyst

Participatory empowerment is increasingly framed as both a process and an outcome of inclusive development. Dhiaulhaq *et al.* (2025) and López Cifuentes (2025)<sup>[5, 10]</sup> emphasize that empowerment entails collective action, institutional responsiveness, and equitable access to resources. It extends beyond individual skill-building to include structural reforms that dismantle discriminatory norms and practices.

Scholars highlight that women leaders often adopt participatory and collaborative leadership styles that foster inclusive decision-making (Keswick *et al.*, 2025; Villeneuve *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[8, 23]</sup>. These styles prioritize dialogue, consensus-building, and grassroots engagement, thereby strengthening democratic accountability. Ameridyani *et al.* (2025) and Rahmania *et al.* (2025)<sup>[1, 16]</sup> demonstrate that women's community-based leadership enhances social cohesion and participatory governance, particularly in marginalized or rural settings.

Furthermore, participatory empowerment has been linked to improved developmental outcomes. Awusi *et al.* (2023)<sup>[3]</sup> show that women's leadership in local governance positively influences investments in education, healthcare, and social welfare. Similarly, Korhonen *et al.* (2025)<sup>[9]</sup> identify correlations between gender-inclusive leadership and sustainable environmental practices. These findings reinforce the argument that women's empowerment contributes to multidimensional development.

### 3. Intersectionality and Contextual Realities

The literature increasingly recognizes that women's leadership experiences are shaped by intersecting identities such as class, ethnicity, geography, disability, and age (Razia *et al.*, 2025; Rath *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[18, 19]</sup>. Intersectionality theory underscores that empowerment strategies must be context-sensitive and responsive to layered inequalities.

Slavchevska *et al.* (2024)<sup>[20]</sup> highlight the economic barriers faced by women entrepreneurs in developing contexts, emphasizing limited access to credit, land ownership, and digital resources. Hasan (2025)<sup>[7]</sup> further notes that cultural norms and institutional biases restrict women's mobility and participation in formal leadership roles. These structural barriers reveal that empowerment is not merely an individual endeavor but a systemic challenge requiring institutional reform.

In fragile or conflict-affected contexts, women's leadership often emerges through grassroots activism and community resilience mechanisms (Paalo, 2022; Vega-Camarena *et al.*, 2025)<sup>[15, 22]</sup>. Such contexts demonstrate that women's leadership can play a stabilizing role in peacebuilding and inclusive reconstruction. However, the sustainability of such leadership depends on supportive governance structures and legal frameworks.

### 4. Economic Empowerment and Leadership Dynamics

Economic inclusion forms a critical dimension of participatory empowerment. Mayer *et al.* (2025) and Korhonen *et al.* (2025)<sup>[9, 13]</sup> argue that economic autonomy enhances women's bargaining power within households and communities, thereby facilitating leadership emergence. Economic empowerment initiatives—such as microfinance, skill development, and entrepreneurship programs—serve as entry points for broader civic participation.

Nevertheless, scholars caution against instrumentalizing women's empowerment solely for economic growth. Nanda & Ghosh (2025)<sup>[14]</sup> contend that neoliberal development models may commodify women's labor without addressing structural inequities. Similarly, Boudebouz *et al.* (2025)<sup>[4]</sup> warn that corporate diversity initiatives sometimes prioritize reputational gains over genuine institutional reform. Thus, inclusive development must balance economic inclusion with social justice principles.

## 5. Institutional Reform and Policy Frameworks

Institutional transformation is central to conceptualizing women's leadership beyond representation. Mansour *et al.* (2025) and Tingelhoff & Marga (2025) <sup>[11, 21]</sup> stress the need for gender-responsive governance systems that institutionalize participatory mechanisms. Legal frameworks, gender budgeting, anti-discrimination policies, and inclusive governance structures create enabling environments for women leaders. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 5 (Gender Equality) and Goal 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions), provide a global normative framework linking women's leadership to inclusive development (Hasan, 2025; Mayer *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[7, 13]</sup>. Scholars argue that integrating gender perspectives across policy domains enhances accountability and sustainability. However, implementation gaps remain significant. Dushkova *et al.* (2025) <sup>[6]</sup> highlight discrepancies between policy commitments and grassroots realities, noting that institutional inertia and patriarchal resistance often undermine reforms. Therefore, participatory empowerment requires continuous monitoring, evaluation, and community engagement.

## 6. Leadership Styles and Transformative Outcomes

Research also explores the distinctive attributes associated with women's leadership. Villeneuve *et al.* (2025) and Keswick *et al.* (2025) <sup>[8, 23]</sup> identify relational and empathetic leadership styles as conducive to inclusive governance. These approaches encourage collaboration, transparency, and stakeholder participation. Yet, scholars caution against essentializing women's leadership traits. Raj *et al.* (2024) <sup>[17]</sup> argue that leadership styles are socially constructed and influenced by institutional cultures rather than inherent gender characteristics. Overgeneralization risks reinforcing stereotypes rather than promoting equality. The transformative potential of women's leadership lies in its capacity to challenge exclusionary norms and redistribute power. Ameridyani *et al.* (2025) and Rahmania *et al.* (2025) <sup>[1, 16]</sup> show that participatory women leaders often prioritize marginalized voices, thereby expanding democratic space. This aligns with inclusive development theory, which emphasizes equity, representation, and shared prosperity.

## 7. Gaps in the Literature

Despite substantial progress, several gaps persist. First, there is limited integration of micro-level empowerment studies with macro-level institutional analyses. Second, empirical research often focuses on political leadership, neglecting corporate, educational, and community-based contexts. Third, cross-cultural comparative studies remain underdeveloped, limiting generalizability. Moreover, much of the literature emphasizes success narratives, with less attention to resistance, backlash, and structural barriers. Future research must critically examine power dynamics and institutional inertia that constrain transformative change (Nanda & Ghosh, 2025; Dushkova *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[6, 14]</sup>.

## 8. Synthesis and Conceptual Implications

Collectively, the reviewed literature underscores that women's leadership must be understood as participatory, intersectional, and transformative. Moving beyond representation entails ensuring substantive influence, equitable resource distribution, and institutional reform. Participatory empowerment bridges individual agency with

systemic change, fostering inclusive development that is socially just and sustainable.

Thus, conceptualizing women's leadership within inclusive development requires a multidimensional framework integrating political, economic, social, and institutional dimensions. Empowerment is both a pathway and an outcome—enabling women to shape development agendas while simultaneously transforming the structures that historically marginalized them. In summary, the literature converges on the assertion that inclusive development cannot be achieved without meaningful women's leadership. Yet, realizing this vision demands structural reforms, participatory governance mechanisms, and intersectional policy approaches that translate representation into transformative empowerment.

## Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to conceptualize women's leadership and participatory empowerment within the broader framework of inclusive development. Given the study's emphasis on structural inequalities, institutional power relations, participatory governance, and intersectional barriers, a qualitative design enables an in-depth exploration of socio-political, economic, and cultural dimensions that quantitative techniques may not fully capture. The conceptual nature of the inquiry requires interpretative engagement with theoretical debates and empirical scholarship to understand how women's leadership moves beyond symbolic representation toward substantive empowerment.

The research relies primarily on a critical and systematic review of contemporary scholarly literature, global development reports, and empirical case studies. Key sources include recent studies on participatory governance and women's agency (Ameridyani *et al.*, 2025; Rahmania *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[1, 16]</sup>, gendered economic inclusion and institutional reform (Mayer *et al.*, 2025; Nanda & Ghosh, 2025) <sup>[13, 14]</sup>, intersectionality and structural barriers (Razia *et al.*, 2025; Rath *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[18, 19]</sup>, and leadership transformation in governance and community development contexts (Keswick *et al.*, 2025; Villeneuve *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[8, 23]</sup>. Additional insights are drawn from research on policy implementation gaps and institutional resistance (Dushkova *et al.*, 2025; Boudebouz *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[4, 6]</sup>, as well as studies connecting gender equality with sustainable development outcomes (Hasan, 2025; Korhonen *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[7, 9]</sup>.

## Objectives of the Study

- To examine how women's leadership extends beyond descriptive representation toward substantive participation and transformative empowerment in inclusive development.
- To analyze the role of participatory governance, institutional reform, and economic inclusion in strengthening women's leadership capacities.
- To identify intersectional structural and cultural barriers that mediate processes of inclusion and exclusion in leadership spaces.
- To synthesize insights from global and regional scholarship to develop a multidimensional conceptual framework linking women's leadership with inclusive development outcomes.

Data collection involved systematic identification and extraction of relevant information from peer-reviewed

journal articles, policy frameworks (including SDG-related documents), governance reports, and community-based development case studies. Sources were selected based on relevance to themes such as participatory empowerment, institutional transformation, gender-responsive governance, economic inclusion, and intersectionality. Emphasis was placed on recent contributions (2022–2025) to ensure contemporary relevance and theoretical advancement.

The study employs thematic content analysis to synthesize findings across diverse contexts. Through iterative coding and categorization, recurring themes were identified, including participatory leadership styles, structural patriarchy, institutional inertia, economic agency, grassroots mobilization, policy-driven inclusion, and intersectional marginalization. This analytical strategy facilitates the integration of micro-level experiences (community leadership, grassroots participation) with macro-level institutional and policy frameworks. The analytical framework guiding this research is grounded in feminist institutionalism and inclusive development theory. It examines how power relations are embedded within governance systems and how women's participatory leadership can reshape these systems. By focusing on the intersections of gender, class, economic capital, and institutional structures, the methodology highlights both structural constraints and transformative opportunities.

The objectives guiding this methodology are twofold: first, to critically map how women's leadership interacts with institutional, economic, and socio-cultural structures to advance inclusive development; and second, to explore how participatory empowerment mediates social justice, governance accountability, and sustainable development outcomes. By prioritizing a qualitative, literature-driven approach, this study provides a comprehensive conceptual understanding of women's leadership as both a process and an outcome of inclusive development, situating empowerment within broader structural and policy-driven contexts.

## Discussion

The findings of this study reinforce the argument that women's leadership must be understood beyond numerical representation and toward substantive, participatory empowerment. The literature consistently demonstrates that while descriptive representation has improved across political and institutional domains, structural constraints continue to limit transformative impact (Nanda & Ghosh, 2025; Dushkova *et al.*, 2025) [6, 14]. Thus, leadership effectiveness is contingent not merely on presence but on access to decision-making power, institutional support, and participatory governance mechanisms.

The analysis highlights that participatory empowerment serves as a critical bridge between representation and inclusive development. Studies show that women leaders often adopt collaborative and community-oriented approaches, fostering transparency and grassroots engagement (Keswick *et al.*, 2025; Villeneuve *et al.*, 2025) [8, 23]. Such leadership styles align closely with inclusive development principles, particularly in promoting equity in education, health, and social welfare (Awusi *et al.*, 2023; Korhonen *et al.*, 2025) [3, 9]. These findings suggest that women's leadership contributes to broad-based developmental outcomes when supported by enabling institutional frameworks.

However, the discussion also underscores persistent intersectional barriers. Socio-cultural norms, economic inequalities, and institutional biases mediate access to leadership opportunities and influence (Razia *et al.*, 2025; Rath *et al.*, 2025) [18, 19]. Without structural reforms, participatory spaces risk becoming symbolic rather than transformative. Policy commitments to gender equality, including SDG-linked frameworks, provide normative support, yet implementation gaps remain significant (Hasan, 2025; Mayer *et al.*, 2025) [7, 13]. Overall, the study indicates that inclusive development is strengthened when women's leadership is embedded within participatory institutions and supported by structural reforms. Empowerment must therefore be conceptualized as a systemic process—integrating institutional accountability, economic agency, and intersectional inclusion—to ensure that representation translates into transformative governance outcomes (Ameridyani *et al.*, 2025; Boudebouz *et al.*, 2025) [1, 4].

## Major Findings

The study reveals that women's leadership significantly contributes to inclusive development when it transcends symbolic representation and ensures substantive participation in decision-making processes. Evidence from recent scholarship indicates that descriptive representation alone is insufficient to transform institutional power dynamics; rather, meaningful leadership requires authority, policy influence, and participatory engagement (Nanda & Ghosh, 2025; Dushkova *et al.*, 2025) [6, 14]. Women's presence in governance structures becomes transformative only when supported by enabling institutional frameworks and accountability mechanisms.

A key finding is that participatory empowerment functions as a catalyst for inclusive governance. Women leaders frequently adopt collaborative, community-centered approaches that prioritize dialogue, transparency, and collective problem-solving (Keswick *et al.*, 2025; Villeneuve *et al.*, 2025) [8, 23]. Such leadership styles enhance social trust and broaden stakeholder inclusion, particularly in sectors such as education, health, and environmental sustainability (Awusi *et al.*, 2023; Korhonen *et al.*, 2025) [3, 9]. This demonstrates that participatory leadership not only strengthens democratic practices but also improves developmental outcomes. The analysis further highlights the centrality of intersectionality in shaping leadership opportunities. Structural barriers rooted in socio-cultural norms, economic disparities, and institutional biases constrain women's access to leadership roles and limit their influence (Razia *et al.*, 2025; Rath *et al.*, 2025) [18, 19]. Women from marginalized communities face compounded disadvantages, emphasizing the need for context-sensitive and equity-driven policy interventions.

Another major finding is the importance of institutional reform and policy support in sustaining women's empowerment. Gender-responsive governance mechanisms, SDG-aligned policy frameworks, and inclusive economic initiatives enhance women's leadership capacities (Hasan, 2025; Mayer *et al.*, 2025) [7, 13]. However, implementation gaps and resistance within patriarchal systems often dilute policy effectiveness (Boudebouz *et al.*, 2025) [4]. Overall, the study concludes that inclusive development is most effective when women's leadership is embedded within participatory institutions, intersectional policy frameworks, and structural reforms that redistribute power and resources

(Ameridyani *et al.*, 2025; Rahmania *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[1, 16]</sup>. Empowerment, therefore, emerges as both a process and an outcome of transformative governance.

## Conclusion

This study conceptualizes women's leadership as a transformative force within inclusive development, arguing that meaningful progress requires moving beyond descriptive representation toward participatory empowerment and structural reform. The literature demonstrates that while numerical gains in women's representation are significant, they do not automatically dismantle entrenched power hierarchies (Nanda & Ghosh, 2025; Dushkova *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[6, 14]</sup>. Substantive leadership emerges only when women possess decision-making authority, institutional support, and access to participatory governance mechanisms.

The findings underscore that participatory empowerment is central to inclusive development. Women leaders frequently promote collaborative, community-driven approaches that enhance transparency, accountability, and social cohesion (Keswick *et al.*, 2025; Villeneuve *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[8, 23]</sup>. Such leadership contributes to equitable policy priorities in education, health, social welfare, and sustainability (Awusi *et al.*, 2023; Korhonen *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[3, 9]</sup>. However, intersectional barriers—including socio-cultural norms, economic exclusion, and institutional bias—continue to mediate leadership opportunities and constrain transformative potential (Razia *et al.*, 2025; Rath *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[18, 19]</sup>. Institutional reform and policy alignment with global gender equality frameworks, particularly SDG-linked commitments, are critical to sustaining empowerment (Hasan, 2025; Mayer *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[7, 13]</sup>. Yet, implementation gaps and resistance within patriarchal systems highlight the need for deeper structural transformation (Boudebouz *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[4]</sup>. Empowerment must therefore be systemic—integrating economic inclusion, participatory governance, and intersectional justice.

In conclusion, inclusive development cannot be achieved without embedding women's leadership within accountable, participatory, and equitable institutions. Transformative empowerment requires redistributing power, reforming governance structures, and recognizing women not merely as beneficiaries but as architects of development. Future research should further integrate micro-level experiences with macro-level institutional analyses to advance a comprehensive and context-sensitive framework of participatory women's leadership (Ameridyani *et al.*, 2025; Rahmania *et al.*, 2025) <sup>[1, 16]</sup>.

## References

1. Ameridyani A, Tokito M, Saizen I. Towards community-driven rural development: Path of women group in empowering rural Women's capacities and role in rural development. *World Development Perspectives*,2025:39:100700. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2025.100700>
2. Amoak D, Najjar D. Governing agrifood systems for climate resilience and gender inclusivity: A strategic review of the evidence. *Environmental Development*,2025:57:101308. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2025.101308>
3. Awusi M, Addae D, Kwapong OATF. Tackling the legislative underrepresentation of women in Ghana: Empowerment strategies for broader gender parity. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*,2023:8(1):100717. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100717>
4. Boudebouz A, Amorim Carvalho J, Tavares AF. e-Participation for community empowerment: a systematic literature review. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*,2025:19(4):748–774. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-01-2025-0008>
5. Dhiaulhaq A, Sambodo MT, Hidayat S, Stacher N, Sari D, Haryadi T, *et al.* Joined-up governance and sustainable finance for inclusive ridge-to-reef conservation in Southwest Papua, Indonesia. *Environmental Development*,2025:57:101360. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2025.101360>
6. Dushkova D, Ivlieva O, Vandewalle M, Carrasco AR, Pontón-Cevallos J, Sieber IM. Just and inclusive enough? Designing inclusive NBS to support communities in their just transition towards sustainability and resilience. *Nature-Based Solutions*,2025:9:100306. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbsj.2025.100306>
7. Hasan M. An integrative model of entrepreneurial technology literacy for women entrepreneurs: Qualitative insights from open innovation and experiential learning in emerging economies. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*,2025:12(1):100744. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2025.100744>
8. Keswick M, Sim T, Suarez L, Echeverri D, Mather TA, Pyle DM. From storytelling to resilience: A transdisciplinary approach to empowering children in disaster risk reduction. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*,2025:131:105881. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2025.105881>
9. Korhonen J, Dahl J, Downtin AL, Rathbun L. Culture change in the Forest sector: Insights from a participatory workshop at the Women's Forest congress. *Forest Policy and Economics*,2025:172:103438. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2025.103438>
10. López Cifuentes M. Unravelling inclusive food citizenship: insights from East-Central London. *Political Geography*,2025:127:103518. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2025.103518>
11. Mansour W, Yamout R, Arjyal A, Karki S, Khalil J, Baral S, *et al.* Challenging gender norms through participatory action research: A cross-country study of women close-to-community healthcare providers in fragile settings. *SSM - Health Systems*,2025:6:100196. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmhs.2025.100196>
12. Matewos T, Dejene M, Yimer S. Empowering pastoralist women through social innovations in Somali

- and Afar regions of Ethiopia. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*,2025:11:101319. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101319>
13. Mayer K, McKinley Yoder C, Garrigues L. A diverse, equitable, and inclusive nursing curriculum: A qualitative thematic analysis of faculty and student perceptions. *Nurse Education Today*,2025:158:106946. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2025.106946>
  14. Nanda M, Ghosh S. How does male out-migration impact the lives of left-behind women? Trade-off between feminization of agriculture and empowerment of farm women. *Evaluation and Program Planning*,2025:111:102603. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2025.102603>
  15. Paolo SA. Title: Intergenerational gaps in women’s grassroots peacebuilding in Ghana: a critique of “inclusive peacebuilding.” *Journal of Aggression, Conflict and Peace Research*,2022:14(4):287–303. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/JACPR-01-2022-0663>
  16. Rahmania T, Kertamuda F, Wulandari SS, Marfu A. Empowering women for a sustainable future: Integrating gender equality and environmental stewardship. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*,2025:11:101503. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101503>
  17. Raj A, Dey A, Rao N, Yore J, McDougal L, Bhan N, *et al.* The EMERGE framework to measure empowerment for health and development. *Social Science & Medicine*,2024:351:116879. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2024.116879>
  18. Rath A, Panda A, Jena LK. Beyond Symbolic Inclusion: Exploring resistance to the inclusion of women in the Indian maritime industry. *Marine Policy*,2025:183:106929. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2025.106929>
  19. Razia S, Akter M, Rahman MA. Do gender equality and women’s empowerment influence socially sustainable urban development in developing cities? *Women’s Studies International Forum*,2025:114:103220. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2025.103220>
  20. Slavchevska V, Acosta M, Ndiaye T, Park CMY. Gendered pathways for resilient and inclusive rural transformation. *Global Food Security*,2024:43:100818. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100818>
  21. Tingelhoff F, Marga JJ. Avoiding virtual dystopia: A design theory for emancipatory participatory immersive platforms. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*,2025:34(4):101910. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2025.101910>
  22. Vega-Camarena A, Santos-González I, Torres-Wong M, Rosado Medina R, El Mekaoui A. Energy sovereignty and climate adaptation: A community model for empowering maya women in Ixil, Yucatan. *Environmental Science & Policy*,2025:177:104345. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104345>
  23. Villeneuve M, Mellifont D, Cadelli L, Yen I, Moss M. Disability leadership and the future of inclusive disaster risk reduction. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*,2025:133:105927. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2025.105927>